SENATOR GENE YAW

23RD SENATORIAL DISTRICT

SENATE BOX 203023 HARRISBURG, PA 17120-3023 (717) 787-3280 FAX (717) 772-0575

1 ELIZABETH STREET, SUITE 13 TOWANDA, PA 18848 (370) 265-7448 FAX (570) 268-4313

> 230 PINE STREET, SUITE 204 WILLIAMSPORT, PA 17701 (570) 322-6457 FAX (570) 327-3703 TOLL FREE (800) 443-5772

www.senatorgenevaw.com



Senate of Pennsylvania

September 17, 2014



ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES & ENERGY CHAIRMAN

URBAN AFFAIRS & HOUSING VICE CHAIRMAN

AGRICULTURE & RURAL AFFAIRS

JUDICIARY

LABOR & INDUSTRY

RULES & EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS

THE CENTER FOR RURAL PENNSYLVANIA
CHAIRMAN

JOINT LEGISLATIVE AIR & WATER POLLUTION CONTROL COMMITTEE

IRRC

-

Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture Bureau of Farmland Preservation ATTN: Douglas M. Wolfgang, Director 2301 North Cameron Street Harrisburg, PA 17110-9408

Re: Comment on Proposed Rulemaking Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture ID # 2-159 Preferential Assessment of Farmland and Forest Land under the Clean and Green Act

This letter is offered as a formal comment to the proposed rulemaking of the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture ("Agriculture") titled *Preferential Assessment of Farmland and Forest Land under the Clean and Green Act*. This proposed rulemaking was published on August 3, 2013, at 43 Pa.B. 4344.

I was a prime sponsor of the act of October 27, 2010 (P.L. 866, No. 88) ("Act 88 of 2010"). That legislation revised the Pennsylvania Farmland and Forest Land Assessment Act of 1974 (the Clean and Green Act). One of the objectives of Act 88 of 2010 was to clarify that if an owner of land that is enrolled and receiving preferential tax assessment splits-off a portion of that enrolled land, and that split-off complies with the requirements presented at 72 P.S. § 5490.6(a.1)(1)(i), then roll-back taxes are only due with respect to the split-off portion of the enrolled land – and not with respect to the entire tract of enrolled land. This clarification was, in part, in response to a 2009 Commonwealth Court case (Donnelly v. York County Board of Assessment (976 A.2d 1226)), which suggested there was ambiguity on this point.

Act 88 of 2010 made substantial revisions to the provision at 72 P.S. § 5490.6(a.1)(2), clarifying that under the circumstances presented in the preceding paragraph roll-back taxes are "... only due with respect to the split-off portion of the land." I recommend Agriculture revise its final-form regulation to include one or more examples to underscore this clarification. The most logical place to add an example would be at § 137b.82 (titled Split-off tract). An example such as the following would be consistent with both the language and intent of the Clean and Green Act:

Example: A landowner owns a 60-acre tract of land that is enrolled and receiving preferential assessment. The landowner splits-off two acres for one or more of the uses described in the Act, at 72 P.S. § 5490.6(a.1)(1)(i) and the split-off otherwise meets the requirements of that provision. Roll-back taxes are due with respect to the 2-acre split-off tract, but not with respect to the remaining 58 acres. The owner of the 60-acre tract who split-off the two-acre tract is responsible to pay these roll-back taxes.

I encourage Agriculture to consider presenting additional examples that are consistent with Act 88 of 2010 and the Clean and Green Act in the final-form regulation. Thank you for considering this comment.

Sincerely

GENE YAW

GY/arp